

THE SUNflower



NUCLEAR AGE PEACE FOUNDATION

Issue #125 - December 2007

The Sunflower is a monthly e-newsletter providing educational information on nuclear weapons abolition and other issues relating to global security. Help us spread the word and forward this to a friend.

Visit www.wagingpeace.org/donate to help sustain this valuable resource by making a donation.

To receive our free monthly e-newsletter subscribe at www.wagingpeace.org/subscribe

- Perspectives
 - A Response to Brown and Deutch by David Krieger
 - An Explanation of Nuclear Weapons Terminology by Steven Starr
- Historical Perspectives
 - Not Everyone Wanted to Bomb Hiroshima by Leo Maley III and Uday Mohan
- Nuclear Proliferation
 - Bush's Plan for Nuclear Reprocessing is Criticized by Senators
 - US Secretly Helping Secure Pakistani Nuclear Weapons
 - Senate Holds Hearing on Global Nuclear Energy Partnership
- Nuclear Insanity
 - Documents Increased Role for Nuclear Weapons in US Policy
 - Gunmen Storm South African Nuclear Facility
- Nuclear Energy and Waste
 - Yucca Mountain Debated in the Senate
- Resources
 - New Website Features Official US Nuclear Weapons Test Films
 - Nuclear Weapons in US National Security Policy: Congressional Research Service Report
- Foundation Activities
 - Foundation Representatives to Speak in Japan
 - Walter Cronkite Supports Foundation's Annual Appeal
 - Foundation DVD Sent to Over 100 Countries
- Quotes

Perspectives

A Response to Brown and Deutch

by David Krieger

On November 19, 2007, Harold Brown, a former Secretary of Defense in the Carter administration, and John Deutch, a former CIA Director in the Clinton administration, published an opinion piece in the *Wall Street Journal*. The title of their piece was "The Nuclear Disarmament Fantasy." Their article began by pointing

out that the end of the Cold War has led “several former senior foreign policy officials who wrote on this page [that is, the *Wall Street Journal* opinion page]...to make the complete elimination of nuclear weapons a principal U.S. foreign policy goal....”

Brown and Deutch were referring to an article published in the *Wall Street Journal* on January 4, 2007, co-authored by Henry Kissinger, George Shultz, William Perry and Sam Nunn. The article was entitled “A World Free of Nuclear Weapons,” and the authors made the case for US leadership for a nuclear weapons-free world. They argued, “Nuclear weapons today present tremendous dangers, but also an historic opportunity. US leadership will be required to take the world to the next stage – to a solid consensus for reversing reliance on nuclear weapons globally as a vital contribution to preventing their proliferation into potentially dangerous hands, and ultimately ending them as a threat to the world.”

To read more, visit: http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/2007/11/26_krieger_response_brown_deutch.php.

An Explanation of Nuclear Weapons Terminology

by Steven Starr

Discussions of nuclear weapons and the policies that guide them often utilize terminology that lacks standardized definition. Much of the nuclear jargon consists of words or phrases, which are essentially descriptive terms whose meaning is generally agreed upon, but in fact do not have precise technical definitions in any military or civilian dictionaries. Such imprecision in language has created confusion among those trying to comprehend nuclear issues and has even hindered the process of negotiation among nations.

A lack of precise terminology will continue to plague discussions of nuclear policy until adequate definitions are finally agreed upon by all parties.

To read more, visit: http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/2007/11/29_starr_explanation_terminology.php.

Historical Perspectives

Not Everyone Wanted to Bomb Hiroshima

by Leo Maley III and Uday Mohan

Paul W. Tibbets Jr., retired brigadier general and former businessman, died on Nov. 1. He'll forever be remembered for what he unleashed the morning of August 6, 1945.

That day Tibbets' B-29 – christened the “Enola Gay” after his mother – dropped an atomic bomb on the Japanese city of Hiroshima. The blast, fire and radiation killed 140,000 people. Many others were scarred and injured for life. Most of the bomb's victims were women, children, the elderly and other civilians not directly involved in the war. Those victims also included American and Allied POWs and thousands of Koreans forcibly conscripted by the Japanese as wartime labor. Thus began the nuclear age – an age that grows ever more dangerous with the continuing spread of nuclear weapons.

Tibbets stridently defended the atomic bombing of Hiroshima for the rest of his life. Like Harry S. Truman – the president who made the decision to drop the atomic bomb – Tibbets, whose job it was to implement the presidential directive, claimed never to have lost any sleep over the bombing. He went so far as to reenact the Hiroshima bombing in 1976 at a Texas air show.

To read more, visit: http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/2007/11/06_maley_not_everyone_wanted.php.

Nuclear Proliferation

Bush's Plan for Nuclear Reprocessing is Criticized by Senators

Key Senators have recently voiced opposition to the Bush administration's plan to resume nuclear reprocessing in the US. In a letter sent at the end of November, seven Democrats and one Republican told the chairman of the Senate Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development Appropriations, Byron Dorgan (D-NM), "We have serious concerns about the implications of current plans for commercial spent fuel reprocessing." The letter asked that funding for spent fuel reprocessing be cut.

Currently, the House energy and waster development appropriations bill commits \$120 million for reprocessing and the Senate version of the bill commits \$243 million. The eight senators that signed the bill stated that reprocessing is not an effective way to handle the problem of nuclear waste and that it would weaken global nuclear non-proliferation efforts.

The Senators that signed the letter were Senators Russ Feingold (D-WI), Ron Wyden (D-OR), John Sununu (R-NH), Tom Harkin (D-IA), Bernard Sanders (D-VT), John Kerry (D-MA), Daniel Akaka (D-HI), and Edward Kennedy (D-MA).

Haider Rizvi, "Bush's Nuclear 'Reprocessing' Plan Under Fire," *One World*, November 26, 2007.

US Secretly Helping Secure Pakistani Nuclear Weapons

In November, the *New York Times* revealed that the US has secretly been assisting Pakistan in guarding its nuclear arsenal. For the past six years, the Bush administration has spent almost \$100 million on a secret program that provided supplies and training to Pakistan in order to help secure its nuclear materials, warheads and laboratories.

According to US officials, one of the biggest problems with this program has been that there is no verification that the training and supplies are improving security. Often the Pakistani government has been hesitant to show American officials how and where gear is being used.

The program was created after 9/11 in response to fears that terrorists could obtain Pakistan's nuclear weapons. The Bush administration debated whether or not to provide Pakistan with nuclear protection technology called permissive action links or "PALS." This technology prevents the use of a nuclear weapon without using security codes. Also, Pakistanis were fearful that the US would be able to put a "kill-switch" on the weapons, rendering them useless.

While the *New York Times* has been aware of this program for more than three years, they have delayed publication of an article on it at the Bush administration's request. Given the recent political turmoil in Pakistan, questions over the security of Pakistan's nuclear stockpile have once again come up.

Sanger, David E. and William J. Broad, "US Secretly Aids Pakistan in Guarding Nuclear Arms," *New York Times*, November 17, 2007.

Senate Holds Hearing on Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

On November 14, the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources held a hearing on the Bush administration's Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP).

The proposed plan, which would involve the US reprocessing spent nuclear fuel and shipping it to other countries, has seen increased criticism in Congress over the past year. Most recently, the National Academy of Sciences published a report stating, "The GNEP R&D program should not go forward and...should be replaced by a less aggressive research program. Domestic waste management, security, and fuel supply needs are not adequate to justify commercial-scale reprocessing facilities, and there is no economic justification to proceed."

At the Senate hearing, Pete Domenici (R-NM), a major proponent of nuclear energy, stated that the problem of nuclear waste required a more immediate solution than GNEP provided. He went on to say that GNEP was a 50-year program and the US did not have 50 years.

Of those testifying at the hearing, Dr. Matthew Bunn from the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs was the most opposed to GNEP, primarily because building a reprocessing facility would be a proliferation risk. He also said that only certain countries would be allowed to reprocess under GNEP, which would send a mixed message that "reprocessing is essential for nuclear energy, but only certain countries can do it." Instead of GNEP, Dr. Bunn supported the use of secure onsite dry cask storage at nuclear power plants.

Nuclear Insanity

Documents Increased Role for Nuclear Weapons in US Policy

The Federation of American Scientists (FAS) recently obtained a document through a Freedom of Information Act request showing that the US has nuclear strike plans for regional states that are attempting to acquire weapons of mass destruction.

The planning document, which had been requested four years ago and is heavily redacted, shows that the Bush administration broadened US nuclear strike plans to include countries other than Russia and China. The document also shows that the US Strategic Command responded to pressure from the White House that a series of scenario-driven nuclear strike options against North Korea, Libya, and possibly Iran, Iraq and Syria were established.

This new evidence shows that the Bush administration has lied over the past five years when they have insisted that their nuclear policy decreases the role of nuclear weapons.

Kristensen, Hans M., "White House Guidance Led to New Nuclear Strike Plans Against Proliferators, Document Shows," Strategic Security Blog, November 7, 2007.

Gunmen Storm South African Nuclear Facility

On November 8, four gunmen invaded South Africa's Pelindaba nuclear facility. After shooting a worker in the chest, the gunmen grabbed a computer and fled. They abandoned the computer after coming under assault from guards, but all four managed to escape.

The assault on the Pelindaba nuclear reactor and research center, one of South Africa's most zealously guarded properties, is a severe embarrassment to the government. The four gunmen escaped cleanly, neither caught by guards nor identified on surveillance cameras.

Following the most serious assault on a nuclear installation in recent memory, the South African government is largely mum about who was behind it, how they broke in or why.

South Africa's nascent antinuclear movement called the break-ins evidence of the government's lackadaisical approach to nuclear power. "They've failed to control activities there; they've failed to protect the people," said Mashile Phalane of Earthlife Africa, an environmental and social justice advocacy group.

Michael Wines, "Break-In at Nuclear Site Baffles South Africa," *New York Times*, November 15, 2007.

Nuclear Energy and Waste

Yucca Mountain Debated in the Senate

The construction of a nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, is an issue that has been recently highlighted by US Senators and presidential candidates. Considering the growing public attention and its political importance, Senator Hillary Clinton (D-NY) announced on July 2007 a call for Congressional hearings on the issue. Senator Barbara Boxer (D-CA), chairwoman of the Environment and Public Works Committee, agreed to schedule them, and the hearing was held on October 31.

Yucca Mountain, located 90 miles from Las Vegas, was selected by the federal government to be a "dumpster" of nuclear wastes. As Senator Harry Reid (D-NV) pointed out at the hearing, the proposed site would hold at least 77,000 tons of highly radioactive waste from all across the country. Because of its safety, environmental and health concerns, many residents of Nevada as well as out-of-state opponents have been advocating the abandonment of this long-delayed project. The original plan was to open the facility in 1998; now it is expected to open no earlier than 2020.

The recent hearings focused on repository license issues and Nevada's legal fights against the Yucca Mountain project. "Yucca Mountain is not the answer," Senator Clinton declared at the hearing. She questioned the safety of Yucca because of its seismic and volcanic activity as well as the scientific reliability of the proposed nuclear repository. Meanwhile, Senator Reid raised the possibility of transportation vehicles carrying nuclear waste into Nevada being potential terrorist targets.

Senator Barack Obama (D-IL) submitted a letter for the record. He stated, "The selection of Yucca Mountain has failed, the time for debate on this site is over, and it is time to start exploring new alternatives for safe, long-term solutions based on sound science."

Resources

New Website Features Official US Nuclear Weapons Test Films

The United States Government has conducted over 1,000 nuclear tests beginning at the end of World War II. These tests, primarily conducted in Nevada and the South Pacific, exposed millions of US citizens and millions more innocent people worldwide to varying levels of a wide variety of radioactive fallout.

A new website, www.nuketestfilms.com, has 73 declassified US nuclear test films that show nuclear detonations and provide insight into military thinking at the time.

Nuclear Weapons in US National Security Policy:

Congressional Research Service Report

This report highlights the differences between the construct of tailored deterrence and the more general concept of strategic deterrence that guided US nuclear policy during the Cold War. It then identifies a number of issues that Congress might address when it reviews these differences, including the question of

whether detailed and tailored attack plans are more likely to enhance deterrence or more likely to lead to the early use of nuclear weapons, and the question of whether tailored deterrence provides any guidance about the future size and structure of US nuclear forces.

The report is available for download at: <http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL34226.pdf>.

Foundation Activities

Foundation Representatives to Speak in Japan

Nuclear Age Peace Foundation President David Krieger and Program Associate Nick Robinson will travel to Japan from December 13-18 to speak on nuclear disarmament issues.

Dr. Krieger will speak at numerous fora on issues ranging from US public sentiment on nuclear disarmament, to the need for international cooperation, to a poet's perspective on nuclear weapons.

Nick Robinson, a University of California (UC) alum, will speak about his experiences as a student activist calling for the UC to stop managing the nation's two main nuclear weapons labs, and about his current work with the Foundation's [UC Nuclear Free](#) program.

Walter Cronkite Supports Foundation's Annual Appeal

Walter Cronkite, the legendary former CBS Evening News anchor, has written a letter in strong support of the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation's work as part of our annual appeal.

Mr. Cronkite said, "What kind of world do you want our children and grandchildren to inherit? If you are like me, you want them to inherit a peaceful planet earth...For almost 25 years, the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation has been focused on goals such as these."

We hope that you will join Walter Cronkite in supporting the Foundation with a donation this year. Visit http://www.wagingpeace.org/menu/donate/annual_appeal/2007.htm to read his letter in full.

To donate, please visit our secure online site at https://www.wagingpeace.org/menu/donate/index_secure.htm.

Foundation DVD Sent to Over 100 Countries

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation's new DVD, *Nuclear Weapons and the Human Future*, has been received by people in over 100 countries. Now in its third production run, the DVD has proven to be a popular means of spreading the message for nuclear abolition.

Foundation members and supporters around the world are holding house parties and public screenings with community groups to show the video.

You can preview the video and download supporting materials on our website at http://www.wagingpeace.org/nuc_weapons_human_future.php.

To order a free copy of the DVD, please fill out the order form at http://www.wagingpeace.org/nuc_weapons_human_future_order_dvd.php, or call the NAPF office at (805)

965-3443.

Quotes

Mayor Tadatoshi Akiba, President of Mayors for Peace

“City governments, entities that have always walked with and shared in the tragedy and pain of their citizens, are rising up. In the light of human wisdom, they are leveraging the voices of their citizens to lift international politics.”

--Hiroshima Mayor **Tadatoshi Akiba** commenting on the growing anti-nuclear influence of the Mayors for Peace campaign, which now has nearly 2,000 member cities. To see if your mayor is a Mayor for Peace, visit www.mayorsforpeace.org.

Dr. Hans Blix

“The reality is that any nuclear weapon, wherever located, and in any hands, is a special threat which we must seek to eliminate.”

-- **Dr. Hans Blix**, President of the World Federation of United Nations Associations, announcing the launch of the new campaign “[Students for a Nuclear Weapons Free World](#).”

US Disarmament Representative

“The United States will continue to vote against this resolution because of its support for the CTBT (Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty) in Operative Paragraph 9. As delegates are aware, the United States opposes that treaty.”

-- US representative to the UN General Assembly’s First Committee on Disarmament and International Security, explaining the US vote against the resolution titled “Renewed Determination Towards the Total Elimination of Nuclear Weapons.”

US Nuclear Negotiator Christopher Hill

“I sort of have in mind that 2008 is about the time we should finish denuclearization.”

-- **Christopher Hill**, chief US negotiator with North Korea, commenting on the timeframe for completely ending North Korea’s nuclear weapons program.

© Nuclear Age Peace Foundation 1998 - 2007

THE SUNflower



NUCLEAR AGE PEACE FOUNDATION

Issue #125 - December 2007

The Sunflower is a monthly e-newsletter providing educational information on nuclear weapons abolition and other issues relating to global security. Help us spread the word and forward this to a friend.

Visit www.wagingpeace.org/donate to help sustain this valuable resource by making a donation.

To receive our free monthly e-newsletter subscribe at www.wagingpeace.org/subscribe

- Perspectives
 - A Response to Brown and Deutch by David Krieger
 - An Explanation of Nuclear Weapons Terminology by Steven Starr
- Historical Perspectives
 - Not Everyone Wanted to Bomb Hiroshima by Leo Maley III and Uday Mohan
- Nuclear Proliferation
 - Bush's Plan for Nuclear Reprocessing is Criticized by Senators
 - US Secretly Helping Secure Pakistani Nuclear Weapons
 - Senate Holds Hearing on Global Nuclear Energy Partnership
- Nuclear Insanity
 - Documents Increased Role for Nuclear Weapons in US Policy
 - Gunmen Storm South African Nuclear Facility
- Nuclear Energy and Waste
 - Yucca Mountain Debated in the Senate
- Resources
 - New Website Features Official US Nuclear Weapons Test Films
 - Nuclear Weapons in US National Security Policy: Congressional Research Service Report
- Foundation Activities
 - Foundation Representatives to Speak in Japan
 - Walter Cronkite Supports Foundation's Annual Appeal
 - Foundation DVD Sent to Over 100 Countries
- Quotes

Perspectives

A Response to Brown and Deutch

by David Krieger

On November 19, 2007, Harold Brown, a former Secretary of Defense in the Carter administration, and John Deutch, a former CIA Director in the Clinton administration, published an opinion piece in the *Wall Street Journal*. The title of their piece was "The Nuclear Disarmament Fantasy." Their article began by pointing

out that the end of the Cold War has led “several former senior foreign policy officials who wrote on this page [that is, the *Wall Street Journal* opinion page]...to make the complete elimination of nuclear weapons a principal U.S. foreign policy goal...”

Brown and Deutch were referring to an article published in the *Wall Street Journal* on January 4, 2007, co-authored by Henry Kissinger, George Shultz, William Perry and Sam Nunn. The article was entitled “A World Free of Nuclear Weapons,” and the authors made the case for US leadership for a nuclear weapons-free world. They argued, “Nuclear weapons today present tremendous dangers, but also an historic opportunity. US leadership will be required to take the world to the next stage – to a solid consensus for reversing reliance on nuclear weapons globally as a vital contribution to preventing their proliferation into potentially dangerous hands, and ultimately ending them as a threat to the world.”

To read more, visit: http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/2007/11/26_krieger_response_brown_deutch.php.

An Explanation of Nuclear Weapons Terminology

by Steven Starr

Discussions of nuclear weapons and the policies that guide them often utilize terminology that lacks standardized definition. Much of the nuclear jargon consists of words or phrases, which are essentially descriptive terms whose meaning is generally agreed upon, but in fact do not have precise technical definitions in any military or civilian dictionaries. Such imprecision in language has created confusion among those trying to comprehend nuclear issues and has even hindered the process of negotiation among nations.

A lack of precise terminology will continue to plague discussions of nuclear policy until adequate definitions are finally agreed upon by all parties.

To read more, visit: http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/2007/11/29_starr_explanation_terminology.php.

Historical Perspectives

Not Everyone Wanted to Bomb Hiroshima

by Leo Maley III and Uday Mohan

Paul W. Tibbets Jr., retired brigadier general and former businessman, died on Nov. 1. He'll forever be remembered for what he unleashed the morning of August 6, 1945.

That day Tibbets' B-29 – christened the “Enola Gay” after his mother – dropped an atomic bomb on the Japanese city of Hiroshima. The blast, fire and radiation killed 140,000 people. Many others were scarred and injured for life. Most of the bomb's victims were women, children, the elderly and other civilians not directly involved in the war. Those victims also included American and Allied POWs and thousands of Koreans forcibly conscripted by the Japanese as wartime labor. Thus began the nuclear age – an age that grows ever more dangerous with the continuing spread of nuclear weapons.

Tibbets stridently defended the atomic bombing of Hiroshima for the rest of his life. Like Harry S. Truman – the president who made the decision to drop the atomic bomb – Tibbets, whose job it was to implement the presidential directive, claimed never to have lost any sleep over the bombing. He went so far as to reenact the Hiroshima bombing in 1976 at a Texas air show.

To read more, visit: http://www.wagingpeace.org/articles/2007/11/06_maley_not_everyone_wanted.php.

Nuclear Proliferation

Bush's Plan for Nuclear Reprocessing is Criticized by Senators

Key Senators have recently voiced opposition to the Bush administration's plan to resume nuclear reprocessing in the US. In a letter sent at the end of November, seven Democrats and one Republican told the chairman of the Senate Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development Appropriations, Byron Dorgan (D-NM), "We have serious concerns about the implications of current plans for commercial spent fuel reprocessing." The letter asked that funding for spent fuel reprocessing be cut.

Currently, the House energy and waster development appropriations bill commits \$120 million for reprocessing and the Senate version of the bill commits \$243 million. The eight senators that signed the bill stated that reprocessing is not an effective way to handle the problem of nuclear waste and that it would weaken global nuclear non-proliferation efforts.

The Senators that signed the letter were Senators Russ Feingold (D-WI), Ron Wyden (D-OR), John Sununu (R-NH), Tom Harkin (D-IA), Bernard Sanders (D-VT), John Kerry (D-MA), Daniel Akaka (D-HI), and Edward Kennedy (D-MA).

Haider Rizvi, "Bush's Nuclear 'Reprocessing' Plan Under Fire," *One World*, November 26, 2007.

US Secretly Helping Secure Pakistani Nuclear Weapons

In November, the *New York Times* revealed that the US has secretly been assisting Pakistan in guarding its nuclear arsenal. For the past six years, the Bush administration has spent almost \$100 million on a secret program that provided supplies and training to Pakistan in order to help secure its nuclear materials, warheads and laboratories.

According to US officials, one of the biggest problems with this program has been that there is no verification that the training and supplies are improving security. Often the Pakistani government has been hesitant to show American officials how and where gear is being used.

The program was created after 9/11 in response to fears that terrorists could obtain Pakistan's nuclear weapons. The Bush administration debated whether or not to provide Pakistan with nuclear protection technology called permissive action links or "PALS." This technology prevents the use of a nuclear weapon without using security codes. Also, Pakistanis were fearful that the US would be able to put a "kill-switch" on the weapons, rendering them useless.

While the *New York Times* has been aware of this program for more than three years, they have delayed publication of an article on it at the Bush administration's request. Given the recent political turmoil in Pakistan, questions over the security of Pakistan's nuclear stockpile have once again come up.

Sanger, David E. and William J. Broad, "US Secretly Aids Pakistan in Guarding Nuclear Arms," *New York Times*, November 17, 2007.

Senate Holds Hearing on Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

On November 14, the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources held a hearing on the Bush administration's Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (GNEP).

The proposed plan, which would involve the US reprocessing spent nuclear fuel and shipping it to other countries, has seen increased criticism in Congress over the past year. Most recently, the National Academy of Sciences published a report stating, "The GNEP R&D program should not go forward and...should be replaced by a less aggressive research program. Domestic waste management, security, and fuel supply needs are not adequate to justify commercial-scale reprocessing facilities, and there is no economic justification to proceed."

At the Senate hearing, Pete Domenici (R-NM), a major proponent of nuclear energy, stated that the problem of nuclear waste required a more immediate solution than GNEP provided. He went on to say that GNEP was a 50-year program and the US did not have 50 years.

Of those testifying at the hearing, Dr. Matthew Bunn from the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs was the most opposed to GNEP, primarily because building a reprocessing facility would be a proliferation risk. He also said that only certain countries would be allowed to reprocess under GNEP, which would send a mixed message that "reprocessing is essential for nuclear energy, but only certain countries can do it." Instead of GNEP, Dr. Bunn supported the use of secure onsite dry cask storage at nuclear power plants.

Nuclear Insanity

Documents Increased Role for Nuclear Weapons in US Policy

The Federation of American Scientists (FAS) recently obtained a document through a Freedom of Information Act request showing that the US has nuclear strike plans for regional states that are attempting to acquire weapons of mass destruction.

The planning document, which had been requested four years ago and is heavily redacted, shows that the Bush administration broadened US nuclear strike plans to include countries other than Russia and China. The document also shows that the US Strategic Command responded to pressure from the White House that a series of scenario-driven nuclear strike options against North Korea, Libya, and possibly Iran, Iraq and Syria were established.

This new evidence shows that the Bush administration has lied over the past five years when they have insisted that their nuclear policy decreases the role of nuclear weapons.

Kristensen, Hans M., "White House Guidance Led to New Nuclear Strike Plans Against Proliferators, Document Shows," Strategic Security Blog, November 7, 2007.

Gunmen Storm South African Nuclear Facility

On November 8, four gunmen invaded South Africa's Pelindaba nuclear facility. After shooting a worker in the chest, the gunmen grabbed a computer and fled. They abandoned the computer after coming under assault from guards, but all four managed to escape.

The assault on the Pelindaba nuclear reactor and research center, one of South Africa's most zealously guarded properties, is a severe embarrassment to the government. The four gunmen escaped cleanly, neither caught by guards nor identified on surveillance cameras.

Following the most serious assault on a nuclear installation in recent memory, the South African government is largely mum about who was behind it, how they broke in or why.

South Africa's nascent antinuclear movement called the break-ins evidence of the government's lackadaisical approach to nuclear power. "They've failed to control activities there; they've failed to protect the people," said Mashile Phalane of Earthlife Africa, an environmental and social justice advocacy group.

Michael Wines, "Break-In at Nuclear Site Baffles South Africa," *New York Times*, November 15, 2007.

Nuclear Energy and Waste

Yucca Mountain Debated in the Senate

The construction of a nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, is an issue that has been recently highlighted by US Senators and presidential candidates. Considering the growing public attention and its political importance, Senator Hillary Clinton (D-NY) announced on July 2007 a call for Congressional hearings on the issue. Senator Barbara Boxer (D-CA), chairwoman of the Environment and Public Works Committee, agreed to schedule them, and the hearing was held on October 31.

Yucca Mountain, located 90 miles from Las Vegas, was selected by the federal government to be a "dumpster" of nuclear wastes. As Senator Harry Reid (D-NV) pointed out at the hearing, the proposed site would hold at least 77,000 tons of highly radioactive waste from all across the country. Because of its safety, environmental and health concerns, many residents of Nevada as well as out-of-state opponents have been advocating the abandonment of this long-delayed project. The original plan was to open the facility in 1998; now it is expected to open no earlier than 2020.

The recent hearings focused on repository license issues and Nevada's legal fights against the Yucca Mountain project. "Yucca Mountain is not the answer," Senator Clinton declared at the hearing. She questioned the safety of Yucca because of its seismic and volcanic activity as well as the scientific reliability of the proposed nuclear repository. Meanwhile, Senator Reid raised the possibility of transportation vehicles carrying nuclear waste into Nevada being potential terrorist targets.

Senator Barack Obama (D-IL) submitted a letter for the record. He stated, "The selection of Yucca Mountain has failed, the time for debate on this site is over, and it is time to start exploring new alternatives for safe, long-term solutions based on sound science."

Resources

New Website Features Official US Nuclear Weapons Test Films

The United States Government has conducted over 1,000 nuclear tests beginning at the end of World War II. These tests, primarily conducted in Nevada and the South Pacific, exposed millions of US citizens and millions more innocent people worldwide to varying levels of a wide variety of radioactive fallout.

A new website, www.nuketestfilms.com, has 73 declassified US nuclear test films that show nuclear detonations and provide insight into military thinking at the time.

Nuclear Weapons in US National Security Policy:

Congressional Research Service Report

This report highlights the differences between the construct of tailored deterrence and the more general concept of strategic deterrence that guided US nuclear policy during the Cold War. It then identifies a number of issues that Congress might address when it reviews these differences, including the question of

whether detailed and tailored attack plans are more likely to enhance deterrence or more likely to lead to the early use of nuclear weapons, and the question of whether tailored deterrence provides any guidance about the future size and structure of US nuclear forces.

The report is available for download at: <http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL34226.pdf>.

Foundation Activities

Foundation Representatives to Speak in Japan

Nuclear Age Peace Foundation President David Krieger and Program Associate Nick Robinson will travel to Japan from December 13-18 to speak on nuclear disarmament issues.

Dr. Krieger will speak at numerous fora on issues ranging from US public sentiment on nuclear disarmament, to the need for international cooperation, to a poet's perspective on nuclear weapons.

Nick Robinson, a University of California (UC) alum, will speak about his experiences as a student activist calling for the UC to stop managing the nation's two main nuclear weapons labs, and about his current work with the Foundation's [UC Nuclear Free](#) program.

Walter Cronkite Supports Foundation's Annual Appeal

Walter Cronkite, the legendary former CBS Evening News anchor, has written a letter in strong support of the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation's work as part of our annual appeal.

Mr. Cronkite said, "What kind of world do you want our children and grandchildren to inherit? If you are like me, you want them to inherit a peaceful planet earth...For almost 25 years, the Nuclear Age Peace Foundation has been focused on goals such as these."

We hope that you will join Walter Cronkite in supporting the Foundation with a donation this year. Visit http://www.wagingpeace.org/menu/donate/annual_appeal/2007.htm to read his letter in full.

To donate, please visit our secure online site at https://www.wagingpeace.org/menu/donate/index_secure.htm.

Foundation DVD Sent to Over 100 Countries

The Nuclear Age Peace Foundation's new DVD, *Nuclear Weapons and the Human Future*, has been received by people in over 100 countries. Now in its third production run, the DVD has proven to be a popular means of spreading the message for nuclear abolition.

Foundation members and supporters around the world are holding house parties and public screenings with community groups to show the video.

You can preview the video and download supporting materials on our website at http://www.wagingpeace.org/nuc_weapons_human_future.php.

To order a free copy of the DVD, please fill out the order form at http://www.wagingpeace.org/nuc_weapons_human_future_order_dvd.php, or call the NAPF office at (805)

965-3443.

Quotes

Mayor Tadatoshi Akiba, President of Mayors for Peace

“City governments, entities that have always walked with and shared in the tragedy and pain of their citizens, are rising up. In the light of human wisdom, they are leveraging the voices of their citizens to lift international politics.”

--Hiroshima Mayor **Tadatoshi Akiba** commenting on the growing anti-nuclear influence of the Mayors for Peace campaign, which now has nearly 2,000 member cities. To see if your mayor is a Mayor for Peace, visit www.mayorsforpeace.org.

Dr. Hans Blix

“The reality is that any nuclear weapon, wherever located, and in any hands, is a special threat which we must seek to eliminate.”

-- **Dr. Hans Blix**, President of the World Federation of United Nations Associations, announcing the launch of the new campaign “[Students for a Nuclear Weapons Free World](#).”

US Disarmament Representative

“The United States will continue to vote against this resolution because of its support for the CTBT (Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty) in Operative Paragraph 9. As delegates are aware, the United States opposes that treaty.”

-- US representative to the UN General Assembly’s First Committee on Disarmament and International Security, explaining the US vote against the resolution titled “Renewed Determination Towards the Total Elimination of Nuclear Weapons.”

US Nuclear Negotiator Christopher Hill

“I sort of have in mind that 2008 is about the time we should finish denuclearization.”

-- **Christopher Hill**, chief US negotiator with North Korea, commenting on the timeframe for completely ending North Korea’s nuclear weapons program.

© Nuclear Age Peace Foundation 1998 - 2007